

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/644,498		08/23/2000	Tuija Helina Salin-Nordstrom	2508.13US01	1667
24113	7590	06/06/2003		•	
	•	JENTE, SKAA	EXAMINER		
4800 IDS CE 80 SOUTH 8'		ЕЕТ	NICHOLS, CHRISTOPHER J		
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN	55402-2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1647	15
				DATE MAILED: 06/06/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/644,498	SALIN-NORDSTROM, TUIJA HELINA					
·	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christopher Nichols, Ph.D.	1647					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 23 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does N	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 4-12, 24,32,33, 38-43,46-59, ar</u>	<u>nd 64</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 15,16,23,2							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is		proved by the Exar	niner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme							
10. Other:							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Laywell et al. (5 December 2000) Identifiation of a multipotent astrocytic stem cell in the immature and adult mouse brain. PNAS 97(25): 13883-13888 teaches that embryonic, immature and astrocyte precursors may form neurons. This does not support the Applicant's argument as the claims are drawn to "astrocytes" and the Applicant has maintained that the "astrocytes" as claimed are none of the above multipotent cell types. Futhremore, as evident from Table 1, the only category of "astocytes" meeting the Applicant's definition, "Adult cortex" does not form neurons upon the described treatment

Elyabet C. Kemmere

ELIZABETH KEMMERER PRIMARY EXAMINER